

Modular Monad Transformers

Mauro Jaskelioff

Functional Programming Laboratory
School of Computer Science
University of Nottingham

ESOP 2009, University of York, UK



The University of
Nottingham

In this talk

- Monadic semantics and modularity.
- The monad transformers approach.
- Well-behaved operations and their lifting.
- General lifting of operations.



The University of
Nottingham

Monads and Computational Effects

- Monads model computational effects.
- Monads structure functional programs.
- Basic monadic approach:
 - Distinguish computations and values.
 - Explain a language in terms of an abstract computation type.
 - Explain the computation types.

Effectful Operations

- Computational monads come equipped with operations.
- Examples:
 - State Monad: *get* and *put*.
 - Exception monad: *throw* and *handle*.
 - Continuation monad: *callcc* and *abort*.



The University of
Nottingham

Effectful Operations

- Computational monads come equipped with operations.
- Examples:
 - State Monad: *get* and *put*.
 - Exception monad: *throw* and *handle*.
 - Continuation monad: *callcc* and *abort*.
- (Slightly refined) monadic approach:
 - Distinguish computations and values.
 - Explain a language in terms of an abstract computation type **and effect-manipulating operations**.
 - Explain the computation types **and the operations**.

Modular Approaches

- More complex monads $\Rightarrow$ more complex semantics.
- How to construct complex monads modularly?
 - Distributive laws.
 - Coproducts of monads.
 - Monad transformers.
 - Combination of algebraic theories.
- Monad transformers construct monads incrementally.
- Are easily implementable (ideal for DSL).

Lifting Problem

- A monad which supports state and exceptions should support *get*, *put*, *throw*, and *handle*.
- Let's extend the exception monad with the state monad transformer.
- What are the corresponding *throw* and *handle* operations for the combined monad?
- In general: How to lift operations of the underlying monad to the transformed monad?
- Liang et al.(1995) non-modular workaround:
“Provide ad-hoc liftings of each operation through each monad transformer”

Identify classes of operations and classes of monad transformers with a uniform lifting

System F_ω

- We will work in system F_ω .
(Higher-order polymorphic lambda-calculus)

kinds $k ::= * \mid k \rightarrow k$

type constructors $U ::= X \mid U \rightarrow U \mid \forall X:k. U \mid \Lambda X:k. U \mid U U$

terms $e ::= x \mid \lambda x : X. e \mid e e \mid \Lambda X:k. e \mid e U$

- Can be considered as:
 - A meta-language for a programming language.
 - A programming language in which we embed a DSL.
- We will *express* categorical constructions in F_ω .

Functors and Natural Transformations

Definition (Expressible functor)

A pair $\hat{F} = (F, \text{map}^F)$ of

- a type constructor $F : * \rightarrow *$,
- a term $\text{map}^F : \forall X, Y : *. (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow FX \rightarrow FY$ such that map^F respects identities and composition.



The University of
Nottingham

Functors and Natural Transformations

Definition (Expressible functor)

A pair $\hat{F} = (F, \text{map}^F)$ of

- a type constructor $F : * \rightarrow *$,
- a term $\text{map}^F : \forall X, Y : *. (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow FX \rightarrow FY$ such that map^F respects identities and composition.

Definition (Expressible natural transformation from $\hat{F}$ to $\hat{G}$)

Terms $\tau : \hat{F} \rightarrow \hat{G} = \forall X : *. FX \rightarrow GX$ such that

$$\text{map}_{A,B}^G f \cdot \tau_A = \tau_B \cdot \text{map}_{A,B}^F f$$

Monads and Monad Morphisms

Definition (Expressible monad)

A triple $\hat{M} = (M, ret^M, bind^M)$ of

- a type constructor $M : * \rightarrow *$,
- a term $ret^M : \forall X : *. X \rightarrow MX$,
- a term $bind^M : \forall X, Y : *. MX \rightarrow (X \rightarrow MY) \rightarrow MY$

such that some coherence conditions hold.



The University of
Nottingham

Monads and Monad Morphisms

Definition (Expressible monad)

A triple $\hat{M} = (M, ret^M, bind^M)$ of

- a type constructor $M : * \rightarrow *$,
- a term $ret^M : \forall X : *. X \rightarrow MX$,
- a term $bind^M : \forall X, Y : *. MX \rightarrow (X \rightarrow MY) \rightarrow MY$

such that some coherence conditions hold.

Examples,

- State monad $\mathbf{S}X = S \rightarrow (X, S)$.
- Exception monad $\mathbf{X}X = X + Z$.
- Continuation monad $\mathbf{C}X = (X \rightarrow R) \rightarrow R$.

Monads and Monad Morphisms

Definition (Expressible monad)

A triple $\hat{M} = (M, ret^M, bind^M)$ of

- a type constructor $M : * \rightarrow *$,
- a term $ret^M : \forall X : *. X \rightarrow MX$,
- a term $bind^M : \forall X, Y : *. MX \rightarrow (X \rightarrow MY) \rightarrow MY$

such that some coherence conditions hold.

Definition (Expressible monad morphism from $\hat{M}$ to $\hat{N}$)

A terms $\xi : \forall X. MX \rightarrow NX$ such that the monad operations are respected.

Monad Transformers

Definition (Expressible monad transformer)

A tuple $\hat{T} = (T, ret^T, bind^T, lift^T)$ of

- a type constructor $T : (* \rightarrow *) \rightarrow (* \rightarrow *)$ and terms,
- $ret^T : \hat{M} \rightarrow \forall X : *. X \rightarrow TMX$,
- $bind^T : \hat{M} \rightarrow \forall X, Y : *. TMX \rightarrow (X \rightarrow TMY) \rightarrow TMY$
- $lift^T : \hat{M} \rightarrow T\hat{M}$

such that for every monad $\hat{M}$, the triple $(TM, ret_{\hat{M}}^T, bind_{\hat{M}}^T)$ is a monad and $lift_{\hat{M}}^T$ is a monad morphism.

Nottingham

Monad Transformers

Definition (Expressible monad transformer)

A tuple $\hat{T} = (T, ret^T, bind^T, lift^T)$ of

- a type constructor $T : (* \rightarrow *) \rightarrow (* \rightarrow *)$ and terms,
- $ret^T : \hat{M} \rightarrow \forall X : *. X \rightarrow TMX$,
- $bind^T : \hat{M} \rightarrow \forall X, Y : *. TMX \rightarrow (X \rightarrow TMY) \rightarrow TMY$
- $lift^T : \hat{M} \rightarrow T\hat{M}$

such that for every monad $\hat{M}$, the triple $(TM, ret_{\hat{M}}^T, bind_{\hat{M}}^T)$ is a monad and $lift_{\hat{M}}^T$ is a monad morphism.

Examples,

- State monad transformer $\mathcal{S}(M)X = S \rightarrow M(X, S)$.
- Exception monad transformer $\mathcal{X}(M)X = M(X + Z)$.
- Continuation monad transf. $\mathcal{C}(M)X = (X \rightarrow MR) \rightarrow MR$.

Definition (Σ -operation for a monad $\hat{M}$)

A natural transformation $op : \Sigma \circ M \rightarrowtail M$, where $\hat{\Sigma}$ is a functor.



The University of
Nottingham

Operations and Lifting

Definition (Σ -operation for a monad $\hat{M}$)

A natural transformation $op : \Sigma \circ M \rightarrow M$, where $\hat{\Sigma}$ is a functor.

Examples:

- $get_A : (S \rightarrow \mathbf{S}A) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}A$ $\Sigma_g X = (S \rightarrow X)$
 $set_A : (S, \mathbf{S}A) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}A$ $\Sigma_s X = S \times X$
- $throw_A : 1 \rightarrow \mathbf{X}A$ $\Sigma_t X = 1$
 $handle_A : \mathbf{X}A \rightarrow (Z \rightarrow \mathbf{X}A) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}A$ $\Sigma_h X = X \times (Z \rightarrow X)$
- $callcc_A : ((\mathbf{C}A \rightarrow R) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}A) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}A$ $\Sigma_c X = (X \rightarrow R) \rightarrow X$
 $abort_A : R \rightarrow \mathbf{C}A$ $\Sigma_a X = R$

Operations and Lifting

Definition (Σ -operation for a monad $\hat{M}$)

A natural transformation $op : \Sigma \circ M \rightarrowtail M$, where $\hat{\Sigma}$ is a functor.

Definition (Lifting along a monad morphism $\xi : \hat{M} \rightarrowtail \hat{N}$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma(NA) & \xrightarrow{op_A^N} & NA \\ \uparrow \text{map}^\Sigma \xi_A & & \uparrow \xi_A \\ \Sigma(MA) & \xrightarrow{op_A} & MA \end{array}$$

Well-behaved Operations

Definition (Σ -algebraic operation for a monad $\hat{M}$)

A Σ -operation for $\hat{M}$ such that for any $f : A \rightarrow MB$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma(MA) & \xrightarrow{\text{map}^\Sigma(\text{bind}^M(-,f))} & \Sigma(MB) \\ h_A \downarrow & & \downarrow h_B \\ MA & \xrightarrow{(\text{bind}^M(-,f))} & MB \end{array}$$

Well-behaved Operations

Definition (Σ -algebraic operation for a monad $\hat{M}$)

A Σ -operation for $\hat{M}$ such that for any $f : A \rightarrow MB$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma(MA) & \xrightarrow{\text{map}^\Sigma(\text{bind}^M(-,f))} & \Sigma(MB) \\ \downarrow h_A & & \downarrow h_B \\ MA & \xrightarrow{(\text{bind}^M(-,f))} & MB \end{array}$$

Examples:

- *get* and *set*
- *throw*
- *callcc* and *abort*

Non-example:

- *handle*

Lifting of algebraic operations

Proposition

Σ -algebraic $\Sigma \circ M \rightarrowtail M \cong \Sigma \rightarrowtail M$.

Theorem (Unique Algebraic Lifting)

Σ -algebraic operations have a unique lifting through a monad morphism $\xi : \hat{M} \rightarrowtail \hat{N}$.

Lifting of algebraic operations

Proposition

Σ -algebraic $\Sigma \circ M \rightarrowtail M \cong \Sigma \rightarrowtail M$.

Theorem (Unique Algebraic Lifting)

Σ -algebraic operations have a unique lifting through a monad morphism $\xi : \hat{M} \rightarrowtail \hat{N}$.

$\Sigma \circ M \rightarrowtail M$ algebraic

Lifting of algebraic operations

Proposition

Σ -algebraic $\Sigma \circ M \rightarrowtail M \cong \Sigma \rightarrowtail M.$

Theorem (Unique Algebraic Lifting)

Σ -algebraic operations have a unique lifting through a monad morphism $\xi : \hat{M} \rightarrowtail \hat{N}.$

$$\Sigma \rightarrowtail M$$

Lifting of algebraic operations

Proposition

Σ -algebraic $\Sigma \circ M \rightarrowtail M \cong \Sigma \rightarrowtail M.$

Theorem (Unique Algebraic Lifting)

Σ -algebraic operations have a unique lifting through a monad morphism $\xi : \hat{M} \rightarrowtail \hat{N}.$

$$\Sigma \rightarrowtail M \xrightarrow{\xi} N$$

Lifting of algebraic operations

Proposition

Σ -algebraic $\Sigma \circ M \rightarrowtail M \cong \Sigma \rightarrowtail M$.

Theorem (Unique Algebraic Lifting)

Σ -algebraic operations have a unique lifting through a monad morphism $\xi : \hat{M} \rightarrowtail \hat{N}$.

$\Sigma \circ N \rightarrowtail N$ algebraic

Functorial Monad Transformers

Definition (Functorial monad transformer)

- A monad transformer $(T, ret^T, bind^T, lift^T)$
- A map $hmap^T : \forall \hat{M}, \hat{N}. (M \multimap N) \rightarrow (TM \multimap TN)$
- Additional coherence conditions
 - $hmap^T$ should preserve natural transformations and monad morphisms,
 - respect identities and composition of natural transformations,
 - $lift^T$ should be natural (behave well wrt $hmap^T$).

Nottingham

Functorial Monad Transformers

Definition (Functorial monad transformer)

- A monad transformer $(T, ret^T, bind^T, lift^T)$
- A map $hmap^T : \forall \hat{M}, \hat{N}. (M \multimap N) \rightarrow (TM \multimap TN)$
- Additional coherence conditions
 - $hmap^T$ should preserve natural transformations and monad morphisms,
 - respect identities and composition of natural transformations,
 - $lift^T$ should be natural (behave well wrt $hmap^T$).

Examples:

- State monad transformer
- Exception monad transformer

Non-example:

- Continuation monad transformer

Codensity Monad Transformer

- $\mathcal{K}MX \triangleq \forall Y : *. (X \rightarrow MY) \rightarrow MY$ is part of a monad transformer.
- Exploits impredicativity of system F_ω .
- Properties of $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$:
 - Every Σ -operation $op : \Sigma \circ M \rightarrowtail M$ induces a Σ -algebraic operation $op^{\mathcal{K}} : \Sigma \circ \mathcal{K}M \rightarrowtail \mathcal{K}M$.
 - $from : \mathcal{K}M \rightarrowtail M$, such that $from \cdot lift^{\mathcal{K}} = id$.
 - $op = from \cdot op^{\mathcal{K}} \cdot map^{\Sigma} lift^{\mathcal{K}}$.

Theorem (Lifting)

Given

- $op : \Sigma \circ M \rightarrowtail M$
- Functorial monad transformer $\hat{T}$.

There is a lifting $op^T : \Sigma \circ TM \rightarrowtail TM$ of op along $lift^T$.

$$op^T = \Sigma \circ TM \xrightarrow{\Sigma \circ T lift^K} \Sigma \circ T(KM) \xrightarrow{op^{K,T}} T(KM) \xrightarrow{from} TM$$

$$\Sigma \circ T lift^K = map^\Sigma(hmap^T(lift^K))$$

Theorem (Lifting)

Given

- $op : \Sigma \circ M \rightarrowtail M$
- Functorial monad transformer $\hat{T}$.

There is a lifting $op^T : \Sigma \circ TM \rightarrowtail TM$ of op along $lift^T$.

$$op^T = \Sigma \circ TM \xrightarrow{\Sigma \circ T lift^K} \Sigma \circ T(KM) \xrightarrow{op^{K,T}} T(KM) \xrightarrow{from} TM$$

$$\Sigma \circ T lift^K = map^\Sigma(hmap^T(lift^K))$$

- If op is Σ -algebraic, the algebraic lifting and the general lifting coincide.

Example of lifted operations

- For a concrete functorial monad transformer, the lifting simplifies to:
- $SMX = S \rightarrow M(X \times S)$

$$op_X^S(t : \Sigma(SMX)) : SMX = \lambda s. op_{X \times S}(map^\Sigma \tau^s t)$$

where $\tau^s(f : S \rightarrow M(X \times S)) = f s$.



The University of
Nottingham

Example of lifted operations

- For a concrete functorial monad transformer, the lifting simplifies to:
- $SMX = S \rightarrow M(X \times S)$

$$op_X^S(t : \Sigma(SMX)) : SMX = \lambda s. op_{X \times S}(map^\Sigma \tau^s t)$$

where $\tau^s(f : S \rightarrow M(X \times S)) = f s$.

- $\mathcal{X}MX = M(Z + X)$

$$op_X^\mathcal{X}(t : \Sigma(\mathcal{X}MX)) : \mathcal{X}MX = op_{Z+X} t.$$

Summary

- Uniform liftings for a wide class of operations and monad transformers.
- Expressible categorical constructs essential for finding a solution to the problem.
- Future Work.
 - Extend to “mixed-variant” transformers.
 - Give a purely abstract account.
 - General lifting without relying on impredicativity.
 - Extend these results beyond monads.