

# On local normal forms of completely integrable systems

Răzvan M. Tudoran

West University of Timișoara, România  
Supported by CNCS-UEFISCDI  
project PN-II-RU-TE-2011-3-0103

ENCUENTRO DE GEOMETRÍA DIFERENCIAL, ROSARIO,  
02 August 2012

# Table of contents

- 1 Abstract
- 2 Completely integrable systems as Hamilton-Poisson systems
- 3 Local normal form of a completely integrable system
- 4 Complete integrability versus symmetry
- 5 Examples
- 6 Euler's equations versus normal form of 3d quadratic completely integrable systems
- 7 Bibliography

The purpose of this talk is to show that a differential system on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which admits a set of  $n - 1$  independent conservation laws defined on an open subset  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , is essentially equivalent on an open and dense subset  $U \subseteq \Omega$ , with the linear differential system

$$u'_1 = u_1, u'_2 = u_2, \dots, u'_n = u_n.$$

Consequently, the core of the (local) complete integrability is actually the integrability of the simplest linear differential equation, namely  $u' = u$ .

Another consequence of this result is that locally, a completely integrable differential system admits symmetries.

# Completely integrable systems

Let us consider a differential system on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = X_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ \dot{x}_2 = X_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ \dots \\ \dot{x}_n = X_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$  are arbitrary real functions.

Suppose that  $C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}, C_{n-1} : \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are  $n-1$  independent  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  integrals of motion of (1) defined on a nonempty open subset  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

# Completely integrable systems

Since  $C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}, C_{n-1} : \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are integrals of motion of the vector field  $X = X_1 \partial_{x_1} + \dots + X_n \partial_{x_n} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we obtain that for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$

$$\mathcal{L}_X C_i = \langle \nabla C_i(x), X(x) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j} C_i \cdot \dot{x}_j = 0,$$

for every  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  stand for the canonical inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and respectively  $\nabla$  stand for the gradient with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

# Completely integrable systems

Hence, the vector field  $X$  can be written as the vector field  $\star(\nabla C_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \nabla C_{n-1})$  multiplied by a real function (rescaling function), where  $\star$  stand for the Hodge star operator for multivector fields.

It may happen that the domain of definition for the rescaling function to be a proper subset of  $\Omega$ . In the following we will consider the generic case when the rescaling function is defined on an open and dense subset of  $\Omega$ . In order to simplify the notations, we will also denote this set by  $\Omega$ .

# Completely integrable systems

In coordinates, the completely integrable system  $\dot{x} = X(x)$  can be written as:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x}_1 = v \cdot \frac{\partial(C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, x_1)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ \dot{x}_2 = v \cdot \frac{\partial(C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, x_2)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ \dots \\ \dot{x}_n = v \cdot \frac{\partial(C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, x_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)}. \end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$

where  $v$  is the rescaling function.

# Poisson manifolds. Hamilton-Poisson dynamical systems

A Poisson structure on a manifold  $P$  is a bilinear map (Poisson bracket)

$$\{\cdot, \cdot\} : \mathcal{C}^\infty(P, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(P, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(P, \mathbb{R})$$

that defines a Lie algebra structure on  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(P, \mathbb{R})$  and that is a derivation in each entry.

The elements in the center of this algebra are called *Casimir* functions.

A pair consisting of a smooth manifold  $P$  together with a Poisson structure, is called a *Poisson manifold* and is usually denoted by  $(P, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ .



# Hamilton-Poisson dynamical systems

The derivation property of a Poisson structure on  $P$  allows us to assign to each function  $H \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(P, \mathbb{R})$  a vector field  $X_H \in \mathcal{X}(P)$  via the equality

$$X_H(F) := \{F, H\} \quad \text{for every } F \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(P, \mathbb{R}).$$

The vector field  $X_H \in \mathcal{X}(P)$  is called the *Hamilton-Poisson vector field* or the *Hamiltonian vector field* associated to the *Hamiltonian function*  $H$ . The triple  $(P, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, H)$  is called *Hamilton-Poisson dynamical system*.

The derivation property of the Poisson bracket also implies that for any two functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(P, \mathbb{R})$ , the value of the bracket  $\{f, g\}(z)$  at an arbitrary point  $z \in P$  depends on  $f$  only through  $df(z)$  which allows us to define a contravariant antisymmetric two-tensor  $\Pi \in \Lambda^2(P)$  by

$$\Pi(z)(\alpha_z, \beta_z) = \{f, g\}(z),$$

where  $df(z) = \alpha_z \in T_z^*P$  and  $dg(z) = \beta_z \in T_z^*P$ . This tensor is called *Poisson tensor* or *Poisson structure* on  $P$ .

The vector bundle map  $\Pi^\sharp : T^*P \rightarrow TP$  naturally associated to  $\Pi$  is defined by

$$\Pi(z)(\alpha_z, \beta_z) = \langle \alpha_z, \Pi^\sharp(\beta_z) \rangle.$$

Note that for any  $H \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(P, \mathbb{R})$  the Hamiltonian vector field  $X_H$  verifies that  $X_H = \Pi^\sharp(dH)$ .

# Hamilton-Poisson dynamical systems

If one denote by  $n$  the dimension of the manifold  $P$ , the expression of the Poisson tensor relative to a local coordinates system  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is given by the bivector field

$$\Pi = \sum_{1 \leq k < \ell \leq n} \Pi^{k\ell}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \partial_{x_k} \wedge \partial_{x_\ell},$$

where  $\Pi^{k\ell}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \{x_k, x_\ell\}$ .

If there is no danger of confusion, the skew-symmetric matrix  $\Pi := [\Pi^{k\ell}(x_1, \dots, x_n)]_{1 \leq k < \ell \leq n}$  is also called the Poisson structure.

Using the local expression of the map  $\Pi^\sharp$  one get the local expression of the Hamiltonian vector field associated to a function  $H \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(P, \mathbb{R})$  be given by

$$X_H = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left( \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \Pi^{ij} \partial_{x_j} H \right) \partial_{x_i}.$$

# Hamilton-Poisson realization of completely integrable systems

Recall that the vector field  $X$  generating the completely integrable system (1) is proportional to the vector field

$$\star(\nabla C_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \nabla C_{n-1})$$

where  $\star$  stand for the Hodge star operator for multivector fields.

Consequently, the vector field  $X$  can be realized on the open set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  as the Hamilton-Poisson vector field  $X_H \in \mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$  with respect to the Hamiltonian function  $H := C_{n-1}$  and respectively the Poisson bracket defined by:

$$\{f, g\}_{v; C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n = v dC_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dC_{n-2} \wedge df \wedge dg,$$

where  $v$  is a given real function (rescaling).

# Hamilton-Poisson realization of completely integrable systems

Equivalently, the above Poisson bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\mathcal{V}; C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}}$  is given by:

$$\{f, g\}_{\mathcal{V}; C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}} = \mathcal{V} \cdot \frac{\partial(C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}, f, g)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)}.$$

The functions  $C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}$  form a complete set of Casimirs for the Poisson bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\mathcal{V}; C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}}$ .

# Hamilton-Poisson realization of completely integrable systems

The Hamiltonian vector field  $X = X_H$  is acting on a smooth real function as:

$$X_H(f) = \{f, H\}_{\mathcal{V}; C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}}.$$



# Hamilton-Poisson realization of completely integrable systems

Hence, the completely integrable system (1) can be locally written in  $\Omega$  as a Hamilton-Poisson dynamical system of the type:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = \{x_1, H\}_{V; C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}} \\ \dot{x}_2 = \{x_2, H\}_{V; C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}} \\ \dots \\ \dot{x}_n = \{x_n, H\}_{V; C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}}. \end{cases}$$

# Hamilton-Poisson realization of completely integrable systems

Equivalently the above system can be written as:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x}_1 = v \cdot \frac{\partial(C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}, x_1, H)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ \dot{x}_2 = v \cdot \frac{\partial(C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}, x_2, H)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ \dots \\ \dot{x}_n = v \cdot \frac{\partial(C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}, x_n, H)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

# Divergence free vector field associated with the vector field $X$

Next result gives a method to construct a divergence free vector field out of the vector field  $X$ .

The divergence operator we will use in this approach is the divergence associated with the standard Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , namely

$$\mathcal{L}_X(dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n) = (\operatorname{div} X) dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n.$$

## Theorem

The vector field  $\tilde{X} := \frac{1}{v} \cdot X$  is a divergence free vector field on  $\Omega \setminus \mathcal{Z}(v)$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}(v) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega \mid v(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\}$ .

Assume that there exists a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  rescaling function  $v$  such that the Lebesgue measure of the set

$$\mathcal{O} := \left\{ x \in \Omega_0 \mid \operatorname{div}(X)(x) \cdot \frac{\partial(1/v, C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}, H)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(x) = 0 \right\}$$

in  $\Omega_0$  is zero.

# Main Theorem

In the above hypothesis, the change of variables  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), t) \mapsto ((u_1, \dots, u_n), s)$  given by

$$\begin{cases} u_1 = 1/v(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ u_2 = C_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)/v(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ \dots \\ u_{n-1} = C_{n-2}(x_1, \dots, x_n)/v(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ u_n = H(x_1, \dots, x_n)/v(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ ds = -\operatorname{div}(X)dt, \end{cases}$$

in the open and dense subset  $\Omega_{00} := \Omega_0 \setminus \mathcal{O}$  of  $\Omega_0$ , transforms the completely integrable system (3) restricted to  $\Omega_{00}$  into the linear differential system

$$u'_1 = u_1, \quad u'_2 = u_2, \dots, \quad u'_n = u_n,$$

where "prime" stand for the derivative with respect to the new time "s".

# Local normal form of a completely integrable system

Consequently we obtained that the core of the complete integrability is the integrability of the simplest linear differential equation  $\dot{u} = u$ .

Another consequence is that the interesting dynamical behavior of a completely integrable system is generated generically by a set of zero Lebesgue measure.

# Local normal form of a completely integrable system

If the rescaling function  $v =: v_{cst.}$  is a constant function, then the Lebesgue measure of the set  $\mathcal{O} = \Omega_0 = \Omega$  is nonzero in  $\Omega_0$ , and hence the assumptions of the main theorem do not hold. In this case, we search for a new  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  rescaling function  $\mu$  defined on an open and dense subset  $\Omega_0$  of  $\Omega$ , such that the vector field  $\mu \cdot X$  satisfies the assumptions of the main theorem.

# Local normal form of a completely integrable system

The function  $\mu$  satisfies:

$$\operatorname{div}(\mu \cdot X) = \langle \nabla \mu, X \rangle + \mu \cdot \operatorname{div}(X) = \langle \nabla \mu, X \rangle,$$

since in the case of a constant function  $v = v_{cst.}$  we have that

$$0 = \operatorname{div}(1/v_{cst.} \cdot X) = (1/v_{cst.}) \cdot \operatorname{div}(X),$$

and hence  $\operatorname{div}(X) = 0$ .

Consequently, we have to search for a rescaling function  $\mu$  such that

$$\operatorname{div}(\mu \cdot X) = \langle \nabla \mu, X \rangle$$

it is not identically zero in  $\Omega_0$ .



# Local normal form of a completely integrable system

The second condition that  $\mu$  has to satisfy is that the function

$$\frac{\partial(1/\mu, C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}, H)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$$

it is not identically zero in  $\Omega_0$ .

# Local normal form of a completely integrable system

The transformation between the differential system (1) and the differential system generated by the vector field  $\mu \cdot X$  is done by using the new time transformation  $dt = \mu(x)dt'$ . More exactly, the differential system (1) generated by  $X$ , namely:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = X(x),$$

is transformed via the new time transformation  $dt = \mu(x)dt'$  into the system:

$$\frac{dx}{dt'} = \mu(x) \cdot X(x). \quad (4)$$

The differential system (4) can also be realized as a Hamilton-Poisson dynamical system with respect to the Poisson bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\mu \cdot \nu_{cst.}; C_1, \dots, C_{n-2}}$  and respectively the same Hamiltonian  $H$  as for the Hamilton-Poisson realization (3) of the vector field  $X$ .

# Complete integrability versus symmetry

One of the most important results in the literature that study the implication "symmetry  $\Rightarrow$  integrability" in the context of a general dynamical system is a classical result due to Lie which says that if one have  $n$  linearly independent vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that generates a solvable Lie algebra under commutation:

$$[X_1, X_j] = c_{1,j}^1 X_1, [X_2, X_j] = c_{1,j}^1 X_1 + c_{2,j}^2 X_2, \dots,$$

$[X_n, X_j] = c_{1,j}^1 X_1 + c_{2,j}^2 X_2 + \dots + c_{n,j}^n X_n$ , for  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , where  $c_{i,j}^k$  are the structural constants of the Lie algebra, then the differential equation  $\dot{x} = X_1(x)$  is integrable by quadratures.

# Complete integrability versus symmetry

Moreover, by solving these quadratures one obtain  $n - 1$  functionally independent first integrals of the system  $\dot{x} = X_1(x)$ , and consequently one get his complete integrability.

This result was recently improved by Kozlov, by proving that in fact each of the differential equation  $\dot{x} = X_j(x)$  is integrable by quadratures.

Recall also that the above mentioned solvable Lie algebra integrates to a solvable  $n$ -dimensional Lie group that acts freely on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and permutes the trajectories of the differential system, and hence is a symmetry group of the set of all trajectories of the system. Note that by "permutation" we mean that the group action maps trajectory to trajectory.

# Complete integrability versus symmetry

The purpose of this section is to study the converse implication: "integrability  $\Rightarrow$  symmetry". The main result states that for a completely integrable differential system  $\dot{x} = X(x)$ , one can associate a vector field  $\tilde{X}$ , defined on an open and dense set, such that to each  $\bar{Y} \in \ker(\text{ad}_{\tilde{X}})$  it corresponds a Lie symmetry of the vector field  $X$ ; more exactly, there exists a real scalar function  $\mu = \mu(\bar{Y})$  and a vector field  $Y = Y(\bar{Y})$  such that  $[X, Y] = \mu \cdot X$ .

Since the existence of a pair consisting of a vector field  $Y$  and a scalar real function  $\mu$  such that  $[X, Y] = \mu \cdot X$  imply the existence of a one-parameter Lie group which permutes the trajectories of the differential system  $\dot{x} = X(x)$ , one obtains that on an open and dense set, "integrability  $\Rightarrow$  symmetry".

# Complete integrability versus symmetry

Let us start this section by recalling a classical result concerning Lie symmetries of dynamical systems. In order to do that, consider a  $n$ -dimensional dynamical system,  $\dot{x} = X(x)$ , generated by a vector field  $X = X_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)\partial_{x_1} + \dots + X_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)\partial_{x_n}$ , and a one-parameter Lie group of transformations,  $G$ , given by

$$\begin{cases} x_1^*(x_1, \dots, x_n; \varepsilon) = x_1 + \varepsilon \xi_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) + O(\varepsilon^2) \\ \dots \\ x_n^*(x_1, \dots, x_n; \varepsilon) = x_n + \varepsilon \xi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) + O(\varepsilon^2), \end{cases}$$

acting on an open subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with associated infinitesimal generator,  $Y = \xi_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)\partial_{x_1} + \dots + \xi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)\partial_{x_n} \in \mathfrak{X}(U)$ .

# Complete integrability versus symmetry

In the above hypothesis, a classical result states that  $G$  is a symmetry group for the dynamical system  $\dot{x} = X(x)$  (i.e., his action maps trajectory to trajectory), if  $Y$  is a Lie symmetry of  $X$ , in the sense that  $[X, Y] = \mu \cdot X$ , for some scalar function  $\mu = \mu(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

## Theorem

*In the hypothesis of main theorem, to each vector field  $\bar{Y} \in \ker(\text{ad}_{\tilde{X}})$ , where  $\tilde{X} = u_1 \partial_{u_1} + \dots + u_n \partial_{u_n}$ , the vector field  $Y = \Phi^* \bar{Y}$  is a Lie symmetry of the vector field  $X$  that generates the dynamical system (1), where  $\Phi^* \bar{Y}$  is the pull-back of the vector field  $Y$  through the local diffeomorphism  $\Phi$  defined by the change of variables*

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (u_1, \dots, u_n) = \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

*which brings the completely integrable system generated by the vector field  $X$  to the linear differential system generated by the vector field  $\tilde{X}$ .*



# Three dimensional Lotka-Volterra system

The 3D Lotka-Volterra system we is given by the following differential system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx_1}{dt} = x_1(x_2 + x_3) \\ \frac{dx_2}{dt} = x_2(-x_1 + x_3) \\ \frac{dx_3}{dt} = x_3(-x_1 - x_2). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

If one denote:

$$X = [x_1(x_2 + x_3)]\partial_{x_1} + [x_2(-x_1 + x_3)]\partial_{x_2} + [x_3(-x_1 - x_2)]\partial_{x_3},$$

then  $\operatorname{div}(X) = 2(x_3 - x_1)$ .

# Three dimensional Lotka-Volterra system

The system (5) admits a Hamilton-Poisson realization of the type (3), where:

$$V(x_1, x_2, x_3) = -\frac{x_1^2 x_3^2}{x_1 + x_2 + x_3},$$

$$C(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{x_2(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)}{x_1 x_3},$$

$$H(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 + x_2 + x_3.$$

# Three dimensional Lotka-Volterra system

The sets introduced in the main theorem in the case of the Lotka-Volterra system are given by:

$$\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1 x_3 \neq 0\},$$

$$\Omega_0 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1 x_3 \neq 0; x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \neq 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{O} = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1 = x_3; x_1 x_3 \neq 0; x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \neq 0\},$$

$$\Omega_{00} = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1 \neq x_3; x_1 x_3 \neq 0; x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \neq 0\}.$$

# Three dimensional Lotka-Volterra system

Then by the main theorem, the change of variables  $((x_1, x_2, x_3), t) \mapsto ((u_1, u_2, u_3), s)$  defined by:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_1 = -\frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3}{x_1^2 x_3^2} \\ u_2 = -\frac{x_2(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^2}{x_1^3 x_3^3} \\ u_3 = -\frac{(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^2}{x_1^2 x_3^2} \\ ds = 2(x_1 - x_3)dt, \end{array} \right.$$

for  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega_{00}$  transforms the Lotka-Volterra system (5) into the linear differential system:  $\frac{du_1}{ds} = u_1$ ,  $\frac{du_2}{ds} = u_2$ ,  $\frac{du_3}{ds} = u_3$ .

## 3D Euler's equations of rigid body dynamics

Recall that Euler's equations from the free rigid body dynamics are given by the differential system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx_1}{dt} = \frac{l_2 - l_3}{l_2 l_3} x_2 x_3 \\ \frac{dx_2}{dt} = \frac{l_3 - l_1}{l_3 l_1} x_1 x_3 \\ \frac{dx_3}{dt} = \frac{l_1 - l_2}{l_1 l_2} x_1 x_2, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where the nonzero real numbers  $l_1, l_2, l_3$  are the components of the inertia tensor. In the following we consider the case when  $l_2 \neq l_3$ .

## 3D Euler's equations of rigid body dynamics

If one denote:

$$X = \left(\frac{l_2 - l_3}{l_2 l_3} x_2 x_3\right) \partial_{x_1} + \left(\frac{l_3 - l_1}{l_1 l_3} x_1 x_3\right) \partial_{x_2} + \left(\frac{l_1 - l_2}{l_1 l_2} x_1 x_2\right) \partial_{x_3},$$

then  $\operatorname{div}(X) = 0$ , and hence the assumptions of the main theorem do not hold.

# 3D Euler's equations of rigid body dynamics

The system (6) admits a Hamilton-Poisson realization of type (3), where:

$$V(x_1, x_2, x_3) =: V_{cst.}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = -1,$$

$$C(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2),$$

$$H(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x_1^2}{I_1} + \frac{x_2^2}{I_2} + \frac{x_3^2}{I_3}\right).$$

## 3D Euler's equations of rigid body dynamics

In order to correct the vector field  $X$  such that one can apply the main theorem, we choose a rescaling function  $\mu(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1$ , and the associated new time transformation  $dt = \mu(x_1, x_2, x_3)dt'$  defined on the open and dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  given by  $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1 \neq 0\}$ .



## 3D Euler's equations of rigid body dynamics

This new time transformation, transforms the system (6) into the differential system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dx_1}{dt'} = \frac{l_2 - l_3}{l_2 l_3} x_1 x_2 x_3 \\ \frac{dx_2}{dt'} = \frac{l_3 - l_1}{l_1 l_3} x_1^2 x_3 \\ \frac{dx_3}{dt'} = \frac{l_1 - l_2}{l_1 l_2} x_1^2 x_2, \end{array} \right. \quad (7)$$

## 3D Euler's equations of rigid body dynamics

Recall that the vector field which generates the differential system (7) is given by:

$$\mu \cdot X = \left( \frac{l_2 - l_3}{l_2 l_3} x_1 x_2 x_3 \right) \partial_{x_1} + \left( \frac{l_3 - l_1}{l_1 l_3} x_1^2 x_3 \right) \partial_{x_2} + \left( \frac{l_1 - l_2}{l_1 l_2} x_1^2 x_2 \right) \partial_{x_3}.$$

One note that the divergence of the vector field  $\mu \cdot X$  is given by:

$$\operatorname{div}(\mu \cdot X) = \frac{l_2 - l_3}{l_2 l_3} x_2 x_3.$$

For  $l_2 \neq l_3$  we have that  $\operatorname{div}(\mu \cdot X)$  it is not identically zero.

## 3D Euler's equations of rigid body dynamics

The system (7) admits a Hamilton-Poisson realization of the type (3), where:

$$v(x_1, x_2, x_3) = v_{cst.}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cdot \mu(x_1, x_2, x_3) = -x_1,$$

$$C(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2),$$

$$H(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x_1^2}{I_1} + \frac{x_2^2}{I_2} + \frac{x_3^2}{I_3}\right).$$

# 3D Euler's equations of rigid body dynamics

The sets introduced in the main theorem in the case of the system (7) are given by:

$$\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3,$$

$$\Omega_0 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1 \neq 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{O} = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1 \neq 0; x_2 x_3 = 0\},$$

$$\Omega_{00} = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1 x_2 x_3 \neq 0\}.$$

# 3D Euler's equations of rigid body dynamics

Then by the main theorem, the change of variables  $((x_1, x_2, x_3), t') \mapsto ((u_1, u_2, u_3), s)$  defined by:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_1 = -\frac{1}{x_1} \\ u_2 = -\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}{2x_1} \\ u_3 = -\frac{x_1}{2I_1} - \frac{x_2^2}{2x_1 I_2} - \frac{x_3^2}{2x_1 I_3} \\ ds = \frac{I_3 - I_2}{I_2 I_3} x_2 x_3 dt', \end{array} \right.$$

for  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega_{00}$  transforms the system (7) into the linear differential system:  $\frac{du_1}{ds} = u_1, \frac{du_2}{ds} = u_2, \frac{du_3}{ds} = u_3$ .

# 3d quadratic integrable systems

In this section we show that any first-order autonomous three-dimensional differential equation possessing two independent quadratic constants of motion which admits a positive/negative definite linear combination, is affinely equivalent to the classical Euler's equations of the free rigid body dynamics with linear controls.

# 3d quadratic integrable systems as Hamilton-Poisson systems

Let  $K$  be a  $3 \times 3$  skew-symmetric matrix and  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Let us introduce the Poisson manifold  $(\mathbb{R}^3, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{(K, \mathbf{k})})$ , where the Poisson bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{(K, \mathbf{k})}$  is defined by:

$$\{f, g\}_{(K, \mathbf{k})} := -\nabla C_{(K, \mathbf{k})} \cdot (\nabla f \times \nabla g),$$

for any  $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ , and the smooth function  $C_{(K, \mathbf{k})} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$  is given by

$$C_{(K, \mathbf{k})}(u) := \frac{1}{2} u^T K u + u^T \mathbf{k}.$$

# 3d quadratic integrable systems as Hamilton-Poisson systems

The center of the Poisson algebra  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$  is generated by the Casimir invariant function  $C_K \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$C_K(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^T K u + u^T \mathbf{k}.$$



# 3d quadratic integrable systems as Hamilton-Poisson systems

Consequently, a quadratic Hamilton-Poisson system on  $(\mathbb{R}^3, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{(K, \mathbf{k})})$ , is generated by a smooth function  $H_{(A, \mathbf{a})} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ , given by

$$H_{(A, \mathbf{a})}(u) := \frac{1}{2} u^T A u + u^T \mathbf{a},$$

where  $A \in \text{Sym}(3)$  is an arbitrary real symmetric matrix, and  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

# 3d quadratic integrable systems as Hamilton-Poisson systems

Hence, the associated Hamiltonian system is governed by the following differential equation:

$$\dot{u} = (Ku + \mathbf{k}) \times (Au + \mathbf{a}), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (8)$$

# 3d quadratic integrable systems as Hamilton-Poisson systems

In the above settings, if there exists  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\alpha A + \beta K$  is positive (or negative) definite, then the system (8) is affinely equivalent to Euler's equations of the free rigid body dynamics with linear controls, namely:

$$\dot{u} = u \times (Du + \mathbf{d}), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (9)$$

where  $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  is a real diagonal  $3 \times 3$  matrix, and  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . In the case when  $\mathbf{d} = 0$ , the equations (9) are exactly the Euler's equations of the free rigid body dynamics.

# 3d quadratic integrable systems as Hamilton-Poisson systems

Note that in coordinates, the system (9) become:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = (\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)x_2x_3 + d_3x_2 - d_2x_3, \\ \dot{x}_2 = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)x_1x_3 - d_3x_1 + d_1x_3, \\ \dot{x}_3 = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)x_1x_2 + d_2x_1 - d_1x_2, \end{cases}$$

where  $(d_1, d_2, d_3)$  are the coordinates of  $\mathbf{d}$ .

# 3d quadratic integrable systems as Hamilton-Poisson systems

If the matrices  $A$  and  $K$  commutes, then the system (8) is orthogonally equivalent to the dynamical system:

$$\dot{v} = (D_K v + \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \times (D_A v + \hat{\mathbf{a}}), \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (10)$$

where the real diagonal  $3 \times 3$  matrices  $D_A, D_K$  are given by  $D_A = R^T A R, D_K = R^T K R$ , where  $R \in O(\text{Id}) = O(3, \mathbb{R})$  is a  $3 \times 3$  orthogonal matrix, and  $\hat{\mathbf{k}} := \det(R) R^T \mathbf{k}, \hat{\mathbf{a}} := \det(R) R^T \mathbf{a}$ .

# 3d quadratic integrable systems as Hamilton-Poisson systems

Using coordinates, the system (10) becomes:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = (K_2 A_3 - K_3 A_2)x_2 x_3 + (K_2 a_3 - k_3 A_2)x_2 + (k_2 A_3 - K_3 a_2)x_3 \\ \quad + k_2 a_3 - k_3 a_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 = (K_3 A_1 - K_1 A_3)x_1 x_3 + (k_3 A_1 - K_1 a_3)x_1 + (K_3 a_1 - k_1 A_3)x_3 \\ \quad + k_3 a_1 - k_1 a_3 \\ \dot{x}_3 = (K_1 A_2 - K_2 A_1)x_1 x_2 + (K_1 a_2 - k_2 A_1)x_1 + (k_1 A_2 - K_2 a_1)x_2 \\ \quad + k_1 a_2 - k_2 a_1, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where  $D_A = \text{diag}(A_1, A_2, A_3)$ ,  $D_K = \text{diag}(K_1, K_2, K_3)$ ,  
 $\hat{\mathbf{a}} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ , and  $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = (k_1, k_2, k_3)$ .

- R.M. Tudoran, *The free rigid body dynamics: generalized versus classic*, arXiv: 1102.2715
- R.M. Tudoran, *On a class of three-dimensional quadratic Hamiltonian systems*, Appl. Math. Lett. 25(9)(2012), 1214–1216.
- R.M. Tudoran, *A normal form of completely integrable systems*, J. Geom. Phys. 62(5)(2012), 1167–1174.